



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/667,834	09/22/2003	Jian Zhang	CN920020008US1	1003

7590 08/21/2007  
Louis P. Herzberg  
Intellectual Property Law Dept.  
IBM Corporation  
P.O. Box 218  
Yorktown Heights, NY 10598

EXAMINER
----------

PARRA, OMAR S

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2623

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

08/21/2007

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

10/667,834

Applicant(s)

ZHANG ET AL.

Examiner

Omar Parra

Art Unit

2623

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on \_\_\_\_.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-33 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 22 September 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_.

**DETAILED ACTION**

***Priority***

1. Acknowledgement is made of applicant's priority claim over application 02142879.4 filed in China on 09/23/2002.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims **1, 2, 9, 10, 17 and 22-33** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Simmons et al. (hereinafter 'Simmons', Corrected Pub. No. 2006/0085821).

Regarding claims 1, 9, 17 and 22-33, Simmons teaches a Video-on-Demand system (with respective method and computer readable medium) for demanding a video program via a short message, comprising:

short message generating means for receiving a user demand (**User interface 54, Fig. 2; [0040] lines 1-8**), and generating a demand short message based on the user demand, said demand short message including at least a User Identifier field, a Program Identifier field of the demanded video program and an Authentication field (**[0017]; [0040] lines 1-15; [0044] lines 22-[0045]; [0052]**) ;

short message sending means for sending the demand short message generated by the short message generating means (**Network connectivity 12, Fig.2; ;**

demand short message processing means (**Transaction server 10, Fig. 1**) at a program delivering end for receiving the demand short message, processing the received demand short message to extract the user identifier and using the Authentication field to authenticate the legality of the user, and sending the program identifier of the demanded program by a legal user to video delivering means (**[0040]; [0044]; [0045]**);

video delivering means (**Content Providers 6, Fig. 1**) for sending program content corresponding to the program identifier from the program delivering end to the user end indicated by a legal user identifier (**[0040]- [0045]**); and

program playing means at the user end for receiving the video program sent by the video delivering means and playing it back to the user (**42, Fig. 2**).

Regarding claims 2 and 10, Simmons teaches a Video-on-Demand further comprising the step of sending from the program delivering end to the user end a reply message including a confirmation message indicating that the demand short message has been received (**The user knows that his request was received when he/she receives the files, [0044] lines 32-37; or when the PIN is sent, which can be sent with the request [0052], a message is sent if it is not verified, [0049]**).

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 3, 11 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Simmons et al. (hereinafter 'Simmons', Corrected Pub. No. 2006/0085821) in view of NPL document "Introduction to SSL".

Regarding claims 3, 11 and 18, Simmons teaches all the limitations of the claim it depends on. On the other hand, although Simmons teaches that secure socket layer (SSL) can be implemented, he does not teach the details of the implementation of the security implementation and the encryption of the content.

However, in an analogous art, the article "Introduction to SSL" teaches that when communication between server and user is to be established, authentication certificates along with other information to first authenticate each other and share keys and once authentication is performed encryption and decryption of the content is performed with the shared keys (page 1 and 2, paragraphs 7 and 8; paragraph 21 numerals 1-10).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to an ordinary skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify Simmons's system to include SSL as a security measure as taught by NPL document, for authenticated and encrypted communication between clients and servers ("Introduction to SSL", paragraph 1).

6. Claims 4- 8, 12-16 and 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Simmons et al. (hereinafter 'Simmons', Corrected Pub. No. 2006/0085821) in view of NPL document "Introduction to SSL" in further view of Needham et al. (hereinafter 'Needham', Pub. No. 2003/0177495).

Regarding claims 4,12 and 19, Simmons teaches all the limitations of the claims they depend on. Simmons also teaches a video-on-demand system further comprising an optional field containing optional data that may describe said demand more precisely (**Title and/or code can be transmitted, [0050] and [0052]**). On the other hand, does not explicitly teach having a video-on-demand wherein said demand message further comprises:

- a Format Identifier field for defining a format of said demand short message;

- a Demand Time field for indicating a time for sending said demand;

- a Playback Time field for indicating a start time of video playing; and

said Authentication field is an encrypted digest of the above User Identifier field, Program Identifier field, Format Identifier field, Demand Time field, Playback Time field, and Optional field.

However, in an analogous art, Needham teaches a video-on-demand system in which the user is able to select the time of download and further playback ([0020]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to an ordinary skilled in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Simmons' invention with Needham's selection of the time of download and playback for the benefit of finding a time of the day where more bandwidth and processing power is available (Needham, [0020]).

As stated above, the combined teachings of Simmons and Needham teach all the limitations discussed above. On the other hand, they do not explicitly teach having the system further comprising a Format identifier for defining a format of said demand short message and that said Authentication field is an encrypted digest of the above User Identifier, Program Identifier, Format Identifier field, Demand Time field, Playback Time field and Optional field.

However, in an analogous art, the article "Introduction to SSL" teaches that a format or ciphers to be used are established between client and server for communicating between them (page 6, numerals 1-3). In addition, the article teaches that for giving more security while transmitting, all data transmitted is encrypted using different level of ciphers such as MD5, which creates a digest of the message (all fields transmitted) (pages 2 and 3, paragraphs 11 and 12; or table 1 listing all the ciphers that support key exchange).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to an ordinary skilled in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Simmons and Needham's invention with the teachings on the "Introduction to SSL" article for the benefit of having a security measure for

authenticated and encrypted communication between clients and servers ("Introduction to SSL", paragraph 1).

Regarding claims 5, 13 and 20, the combined teachings of Simmons, Needham and the teachings of the article "Introduction to SSL" teach a Video-on-Demand system (with respective method and computer readable medium) wherein said Authentication field is generated according to the following procedure:

Calculating the digest of all the fields except the Authentication field using a digest algorithm ("**Introduction to SSL**": **The MD5 algorithm calculates a digest of the message (page 2 paragraph 11) excepting the Authentication field which is an encrypted result of said digest, as per claim 4**);

encrypting with a cipher algorithm a calculated digest by adopting a secret authentication key corresponding to a user end device, uniquely allocated in advance by the program delivering end ("**Introduction to SSL**": **Table 1 lists all the ciphers or algorithms that support key exchange. The process of exchanging the keys between server and client is explained in page 6 numerals 1-10. In other words, before sending or transmitting anything a set of keys and ciphers are established and all messages are encrypted with them, as for example the digest of the message**); and

a process of authenticating a user's legality by the program delivering end being conducted according to the following procedures:



calculating the digest of all the fields except the Authentication field using a digest algorithm; encrypting with a cipher algorithm the calculated digest by adopting a secret authentication key corresponding to a user end device, uniquely allocated in advance by the program delivering end, so as to calculate an Authentication field; and checking whether the calculated Authentication field and the received. **(It is well known that the MD5 algorithm provides a way for verifying transmitted data and for “compressing” data before being encrypted with a private key –as a matter of example, see attached “MD5-Digest Algorithm” document. Therefore, after decrypting the message using the keys exchanged between client and server as described above, it is inherent that the server has to calculate a digest of the transmitted data in order to compare it with the received digest received from the client).**

Regarding claims 6 and 14, the combined teachings of Simmons, Needham and the teachings of the article “Introduction to SSL” teach a Video-on-Demand system (with respective method and computer readable medium), wherein when said video program is sent via a conditional access system, a content key is delivered with the video program, so there is no need for a separate deliver of said reply message **(Simmons: [0040], [0045] and [0048]).**

Regarding claims 7, 8, 15 and 16, the combined teachings of Simmons, Needham and the teachings of the article “Introduction to SSL” teach a Video-on-

Demand system (with respective method and computer readable medium) wherein when the video program demanded by the user needs to be encrypted and the encrypt key is not sent via a conditional access system, the method further comprising the steps of:

generating, at the program delivering end, an encrypted reply message containing a content key of said video program, and sending it to the user end decrypting, at the user end, the content key from said encrypted reply message; and **(When establishing communication with the server, and after sending the client information for authentication, a key from the server is sent to the server to decrypt all the information sent from the server: "Introduction to SSL", page 6 numerals 6-10);**

decrypting the video program received from the program delivering end according to the decrypted content key **(Simmons, [0040], [0045] and [0052]).**

Regarding claim 21, the combined teachings of Simmons, Needham and the teachings of the article "Introduction to SSL" teach a Video-on-Demand system (with respective method and computer readable medium) a short message generating means according to claim 20, wherein said digest algorithm is MD5 algorithm, and said cipher algorithm is 3DES algorithm **("Introduction to SSL", pages 2 and 3, paragraphs 11 and 12; or table 1 listing all the ciphers that use support key exchange).**

**Conclusion**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Omar Parra whose telephone number is 571-270-1449. The examiner can normally be reached on Under Academy Schedule.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Grant can be reached on 571-272-7294. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

OP

  
CHRISTOPHER GRANT  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600